REMARKS

Claims 1-12, 14 and 16-24 are pending in the application, with claims 1, 12 and 20 being the independent claims. Independent claims 1, 12 and 20 are sought to be amended. Claims 13 and 15 remain canceled. Entry and consideration of this Amendment is respectfully requested. No new matter is believed to have been introduced by this Amendment.

Applicant has made the above Amendment to more particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which Applicant regards as the invention. Based on the above Amendment and the following remarks, Applicant respectfully requests that the Examiner reconsider and withdraw all outstanding rejections.

Rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a)

Claims 1-12, 14 and 16-24 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,594,682 (hereinafter referred to as "Peterson") in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,594,682 (hereinafter referred to as "Arsenault"). Applicant respectfully traverses these rejections with regard to claims 1-12, 14 and 16-24 since Peterson and Arsenault, either taken alone or in combination, do not teach or suggest each element of amended independent claims 1, 12 and 20 for at least the following reason.

Independent claims 1, 12 and 20 were amended to include a similar feature of wherein the first content manager registers with the coordinator by informing the coordinator of the first digital data type, wherein after the first content manager registers with the coordinator the coordinator receives the first stored content and description data about the first stored content from the first content manager when requested by a user and wherein the second content manager registers with the coordinator by informing the coordinator of the second digital data type, wherein after the second content manager registers with the coordinator the coordinator receives the second stored content and description data about the second stored content from the second content manager when requested by a user. Applicant asserts that Peterson and Arsenault, either taken alone or in combination, do not teach or suggest the claimed feature.

In particular, the Examiner states that Peterson fails to disclose the first and second content managers register with the coordinator by informing the coordinator of the first and second data types. The Examiner added Arsenault to teach this feature and stating that Arsenault discloses a compiler 304 working as a coordinator in combining a plurality of content from different sources and the compiler identifies the contents on data formats -- meaning all data types are registered, classified and known by the compiler (Figs. 2 & 3 & col. 5/lines 9-38); and proper data formats are performed and delivered by the compiler before delivery to sub-databases to different receivers/users (col. 5/line 65 to col. 6/line 15) (See, Page 4 of Office Action dated November 14, 2007). Applicant asserts that Peterson and Arsenault, either taken alone or in combination, do not teach or suggest the claimed invention for at least the reason that the compiler of Arsenault does not receive the first and second stored content and description data when requested by a user after they are registered with the compiler, as claimed. Therefore, for at least this reason, independent claims 1, 12 and 20 (and their respective dependent claims) are patentable over Peterson and Arsenault, either taken

alone or in combination. Accordingly, Applicant respectfully requests that the rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) be reconsidered and withdrawn.

INVITATION FOR A TELEPHONE INTERVIEW

The Examiner is invited to call the undersigned, Molly A. McCall, at (703) 633-0931

if there remains any issue with allowance of the case.

CONCLUSION

Applicant respectfully submits that all of the stated grounds of rejection have been

properly traversed accommodated or rendered moot. Applicant believes that a full and

complete response has been made to the outstanding Office Action. Thus, Applicant believes

that the present application is in condition for allowance, and as such. Applicant respectfully

requests reconsideration and withdrawal of the outstanding objections and rejections, and

allowance of this application.

Respectfully submitted.

Intel Corporation

Dated: April 14, 2008 /Molly A. McCall/Reg. No. 46,126/

Molly A. McCall Intel Corporation c/o Intellevate, LLC P.O. Box 52050

Minneapolis, MN 55402

P10860FourthNonFinal

13